International Journal of Recent Engineering Research and Development (IJRERD)
ISSN: 2455-8761
www.ijrerd.com || Volume 09 – Issue 04 || Jul - Aug 2024 || PP. 01-08

Advances in Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) Technologies Using LiDAR and ROS: A State-of-the-Art Review

Fredy Martínez[1], **Cristian Penagos**[2]
[1]*Facultad Tecnológica, Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas,*
Bogotá D.C., Colombia
[2]*Facultad Tecnológica, Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas,*
Bogotá D.C., Colombia

Abstract: The integration of advanced technologies such as Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) with Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) sensors and the Robot Operating System (ROS) is revolutionizing the field of mobile robotics. This paper provides a comprehensive state-of-the-art review of SLAM technologies, emphasizing their application in various environments and the significant enhancements enabled by the use of LiDAR and ROS. Through systematic examination of recent literature, this review identifies and synthesizes the latest advancements, focusing on the precision, efficiency, and adaptability of SLAM systems. The methodology includes a thorough analysis of academic papers and technical reports selected based on stringent criteria that prioritize recent innovations in ROS-integrated LiDAR systems for SLAM. The paper highlights the unique advantages of LiDAR in robotic navigation, particularly its superior accuracy and reliability over other sensor technologies such as stereo vision and sonar. It also discusses various challenges in developing and optimizing SLAM algorithms within the ROS framework, such as real-time data processing and system scalability. Case studies illustrating the successful application of these technologies in domains such as residential assistance, industrial logistics, and emergency response underscore the practical implications and future potential of integrating SLAM with LiDAR and ROS. This review not only elucidates the current technological landscape but also maps out avenues for future research and development in robotic autonomy.

Keywords: Autonomous navigation, lidar, mobile robotics, ros, slam.

1. Introduction

The field of robotics has become a linchpin in the orchestration of technology’s role in modern industry and daily living, catalyzing significant transformations across various sectors [1, 2]. As the global landscape evolves, the demand for autonomous robots capable of navigating complex, unpredictable environments has surged [3–5]. This review paper delves into the integration of advanced SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) technologies, focusing on the pivotal role played by the Robot Operating System (ROS) and Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR). These technologies are not just enhancing the autonomy of robots but are also expanding their applications from residential assistance to sophisticated industrial logistics and beyond.

SLAM stands at the forefront of robotic innovation, enabling autonomous systems to construct or update a map of an unknown environment while simultaneously keeping track of their own location within it [6, 7]. This dual capability is crucial for the autonomy of robots, especially in environments where pre-existing maps are unavailable or insufficient [8]. The advent of ROS and LiDAR has significantly propelled these capabilities forward [9]. ROS provides an extensive framework that facilitates the development of robust robot software through a modular and community-driven approach, while LiDAR offers high-resolution distance sensing, crucial for accurate and reliable mapping and localization [10, 11]. The integration of these technologies underpins much of the contemporary advancements in robotic autonomy, paving the way for more reliable and effective robotic systems.

To construct this review, a rigorous methodological framework was adopted, centered around a comprehensive literature review of recent studies and developments in the field. We meticulously selected relevant scholarly articles and technical reports from numerous scientific databases, applying stringent inclusion criteria focused on works that leverage ROS and LiDAR for SLAM applications. The exclusion criteria were set to omit studies that do not directly contribute to the development or understanding of these integrative technologies in practical scenarios. This methodological rigor ensures a thorough synthesis of the current state of the art, providing a clear picture of both achievements and areas ripe for further exploration.

LiDAR technology in robotics, particularly in the realm of SLAM, offers distinct advantages over other sensory technologies like stereo vision or sonar [12, 13]. This section of the review contrasts these technologies in terms of operational efficacy, data accuracy, and environmental adaptability. LiDAR’s precision in distance and speed measurement makes it indispensable for applications requiring high reliability under tight operational constraints [14]. We explore various adaptations of LiDAR in mobile robotics, discussing its implementation across different platforms and environments, highlighting how its versatility enhances robotic navigation and task performance in complex scenarios.

The development and optimization of SLAM technologies using ROS is a dynamic and challenging area of research. This paper investigates a variety of SLAM implementations within the ROS framework, examining their evolution from foundational algorithms to more sophisticated, optimized versions [15]. The challenges tackled in this research area include real-time data processing, scalability of the systems, and robustness against environmental changes. Through detailed analyses of case studies, this section illustrates how overcoming these challenges contributes to the advancement of robotic capabilities, enhancing their precision and efficiency in real-world tasks.

This introduction serves as a precursor to a detailed examination of practical applications and real-world deployments of SLAM technologies. The subsequent sections will further discuss how these technologies are being implemented in innovative ways across different domains, including domestic service robots, industrial automation, and emergency response systems, where the ability to navigate and map dynamically is crucial. By providing detailed case studies and analyzing the hardware and software setups that have proven most effective, this paper aims to offer valuable insights and guidance for future developments in the field of robotic SLAM.

2. Review Methodology

This review adopts a systematic approach to survey the existing literature concerning the integration of SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) technologies with LiDAR and ROS (Robot Operating System) in the domain of mobile robotics. The initial stage involved the identification of relevant databases and journals that publish research on advanced robotics and autonomous systems. These included major scientific databases such as IEEE Xplore, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search was refined using specific keywords and phrases related to 'SLAM', 'LiDAR', 'ROS', and 'mobile robotics', ensuring that only pertinent studies were considered for further analysis.

The selection of studies was governed by a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria developed to focus the review on recent advancements and practical applications of SLAM technologies. Inclusion criteria specified that the studies must involve the use of both LiDAR and ROS in SLAM systems, demonstrate a clear application in autonomous navigation, and must have been published within the last five years to ensure the relevance and currency of the technology reviewed. Exclusion criteria ruled out papers that did not focus on mobile robotics, or those that only superficially incorporated SLAM, LiDAR, or ROS without substantive analysis or novel contribution.

Once relevant studies were identified, a qualitative synthesis was performed. Each study was meticulously analyzed to extract data on the implementation techniques, results, and conclusions. This process not only highlighted the technological advancements in SLAM systems but also allowed the identification of prevailing challenges and gaps in the current research. The analysis was structured to facilitate the understanding of how different configurations of hardware and software could affect the performance and reliability of SLAM systems in various operational contexts.

Furthermore, this review emphasized the comparative analysis of different SLAM methodologies, particularly focusing on the robustness, accuracy, and computational efficiency of these systems. Special attention was given to studies that detailed the integration processes, setup configurations, and environmental adaptability of the systems. This helped in painting a comprehensive picture of the state-of-the-art in SLAM technology and provided insights into the future directions of robotics applications. The final part of our methodology involved summarizing the insights gained from the literature and constructing a narrative that links these findings with potential improvements and innovations in SLAM technologies.

The systematic methodology adopted in this review ensures a thorough and balanced representation of the current landscape of SLAM technologies using LiDAR and ROS. By adhering strictly to the predefined criteria and analytical processes, this paper aims to offer a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners in the field of robotics, contributing to the enhancement of knowledge and encouraging further innovations in autonomous robotic systems.

3. LiDAR Technologies in Robotics

The adoption of Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) in robotics represents a significant leap forward in how autonomous systems perceive and navigate their environments [16–18]. LiDAR sensors are instrumental in offering precise, high-resolution, three-dimensional data by emitting pulsed laser light and measuring the time it takes for the reflections to return [19]. This capability allows robots to create detailed spatial maps that are crucial for various applications, from autonomous vehicles navigating urban landscapes to drones performing geological surveys [20]. The ability of LiDAR to operate independently of ambient lighting conditions makes it uniquely suited for environments with variable lighting, such as outdoor spaces at night or dimly lit indoor areas, enhancing its utility in real-world robotic applications [21].

One of the primary advantages of LiDAR in robotics is its exceptional accuracy and precision in distance measurements [22]. This precision is critical when autonomous systems are required to navigate through tight and cluttered spaces or when high levels of environmental fidelity are necessary. For instance, in industrial settings, robots equipped with LiDAR sensors can maneuver around complex machinery and logistical obstacles with minimal risk of collisions [23]. Additionally, the rapid data acquisition rate of LiDAR enables real-time processing, which is essential for dynamic environments where quick decision-making and adaptive behaviors are required [24]. This functionality is pivotal for ensuring the efficiency and safety of robots, particularly in scenarios where human-robot interaction is frequent or where operational parameters frequently change.

Furthermore, LiDAR's ability to generate voluminous and detailed point clouds provides a foundational technology for advanced SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) processes [25, 26]. These point clouds form the basis for creating comprehensive maps that are not only used for navigation but also for advanced analytics, such as volumetric analyses in construction or crowd flow analytics in public spaces [27]. The integration of these detailed maps with robotic systems helps in significantly enhancing the autonomy of robots, enabling them to perform complex tasks like surveying, rescue operations, or personalized service deliveries in hospitality and healthcare sectors [28].

The synergy between LiDAR technology and the Robot Operating System (ROS) plays a crucial role in leveraging these capabilities. ROS offers a robust and flexible framework that allows for efficient handling of the high-throughput data from LiDAR sensors [29, 30]. This integration facilitates the development of sophisticated SLAM algorithms that can dynamically adapt to new environments and learn from past experiences [31]. With ROS, developers can also incorporate other forms of sensory data with LiDAR inputs, creating a multimodal sensory framework that enhances the perception capabilities of robots, thus allowing for more nuanced interactions with their surroundings and more reliable autonomous decision-making.

Despite these substantial benefits, the integration of LiDAR into robotic systems is not devoid of challenges. The high cost of high-quality LiDAR sensors remains a significant barrier to entry for many robotics applications, particularly in consumer markets and small-scale industrial applications [32]. The computational demand required to process and interpret the dense data provided by LiDAR also poses a challenge, particularly for smaller, less powerful robotic systems or those required to operate on battery power for extended periods [33]. Furthermore, the integration of LiDAR with other sensory data requires sophisticated data fusion algorithms, which can be complex to develop and calibrate, especially in systems where real-time or near-real-time processing is crucial [34].

4. Development and Optimization of SLAM with ROS

The development and optimization of SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) using ROS (Robot Operating System) represent a cornerstone of modern robotics research, enabling robots to navigate and understand their environments autonomously [35]. ROS provides a modular and flexible platform that facilitates the integration of complex algorithms and various sensor inputs necessary for effective SLAM implementation [36]. It supports a wide range of SLAM approaches, from basic implementations like gmapping, which uses a single 2D LiDAR, to more advanced systems that integrate multiple sensory inputs for 3D mapping [37]. The adaptability of ROS allows for tailored optimizations based on specific robotic platforms and operational requirements, enhancing both the functionality and efficiency of SLAM systems.

In the realm of SLAM development using ROS, one of the primary challenges is achieving real-time performance while maintaining high accuracy and reliability [38]. The complexity of real-time data processing, especially with high-resolution LiDAR inputs, demands significant computational resources [39]. ROS addresses this by facilitating the distribution of computational tasks across multiple processors or even multiple machines, thereby enhancing the scalability of SLAM applications [40]. Moreover, ROS's capability to integrate with various middleware and its extensive library of plugins allows for continuous updates and improvements, which are crucial for refining SLAM algorithms and integrating new functionalities [41].

Optimization of SLAM algorithms within ROS also involves refining the data handling and processing workflows to reduce latency and improve map fidelity. Techniques such as loop closure detection and pose graph optimization are critical in minimizing drift and error accumulation over time, which are common issues in large-scale or long-duration mapping tasks [42]. By leveraging ROS's parameter tuning capabilities and simulation environments like Gazebo, developers can experiment with different settings and scenarios, thereby identifying optimal configurations that enhance the robustness and accuracy of SLAM systems[43, 44].

Furthermore, the integration of advanced machine learning techniques into ROS-based SLAM systems is opening new avenues for enhancement [45]. Machine learning models can be trained to predict and compensate for systematic errors in sensor data, adaptively refine mapping techniques, or even identify and categorize objects within the environment [46]. These capabilities not only improve the precision of the maps created but also enable robots to interact more intelligently with their surroundings, supporting more complex tasks and decisions in dynamic environments [47].

Lastly, the collaborative and open-source nature of ROS significantly accelerates the development and optimization of SLAM technologies. Researchers and developers from around the globe contribute to the ROS ecosystem, sharing tools, libraries, and best practices [48]. This collaborative environment fosters innovation and rapid testing of new ideas, which is essential for tackling the diverse challenges presented by different application domains of robotics, such as autonomous vehicles, industrial automation, and personal robotics [49]. The active community and comprehensive documentation also help in quickly resolving issues and spreading knowledge on effective SLAM implementations.

5. Practical Applications and Case Studies

The practical applications of Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) technology, particularly when integrated with ROS and LiDAR, span a wide range of industries, showcasing the technology's adaptability and critical importance. In the logistics sector, SLAM technologies have been instrumental in transforming warehouse operations [50–52]. Robots equipped with LiDAR sensors and running ROS-based SLAM algorithms are being used to autonomously navigate vast storage facilities, accurately locating and retrieving inventory, thus optimizing the supply chain processes. This autonomous navigation is critical in minimizing the retrieval time and maximizing accuracy, significantly enhancing operational efficiency. The integration of these technologies not only reduces labor costs but also minimizes human error, resulting in improved customer satisfaction due to faster and more accurate order processing.

Emergency response is another critical area where SLAM technologies are making a substantial impact. In scenarios where human entry is dangerous or impossible, such as natural disaster sites or environments with hazardous materials, robots equipped with SLAM technology provide invaluable assistance [53, 54]. They navigate through rubble and confined spaces to locate survivors, assess structural stability, and identify hazardous conditions without risking human lives. These robots can map environments in real-time, providing rescue teams with detailed environmental data that is crucial for planning rescue operations and ensuring the safety of both the responders and those they are trying to help.

Healthcare facilities are increasingly adopting SLAM technology to enhance efficiency and patient care [55, 56]. Autonomous robots equipped with ROS-based SLAM systems navigate complex hospital layouts to deliver medications, transport lab samples, and even assist in patient mobility. These robots are programmed to avoid obstacles dynamically, adjust their paths, and manage tasks with little to no human intervention, thereby freeing up medical staff to focus more on direct patient care. Furthermore, the precision and reliability of LiDAR sensors ensure that these robotic systems operate safely alongside patients and hospital staff, integrating seamlessly into the bustling environment of modern healthcare facilities.

The development of autonomous vehicles is one of the most high-profile applications of SLAM, where the technology's potential is being pushed to new heights [57, 58]. These vehicles use sophisticated ROS-based SLAM algorithms to process data from LiDAR sensors and other inputs to understand their surroundings and make safe navigation decisions. This technology is critical in urban environments where interacting with pedestrians, cyclists, and other vehicles requires a high degree of precision and adaptability. The continuous updates and localization provided by SLAM allow these vehicles to react in real-time to unexpected changes in the environment, such as road closures, accidents, or unpredictable pedestrian behavior, thereby enhancing safety and reliability in autonomous transportation.

Exploration and monitoring tasks that were once considered too risky or expensive for human operators are now feasible with SLAM-equipped aerial and underwater drones [59]. Underwater drones utilize SLAM to navigate the murky waters of oceans and lakes, conducting detailed surveys of the seabed, inspecting underwater infrastructure, and monitoring marine ecosystems without the need for cumbersome tethering to surface ships. Aerial drones, on the other hand, use SLAM to perform high-resolution land surveys, monitor wildlife populations, and inspect hard-to-reach infrastructure like power lines and wind turbines. These drones can navigate autonomously in areas devoid of GPS signals, relying solely on the visual and sensor data processed by SLAM algorithms to maintain stability and course.

6. Results and Discussion

The implementation and optimization of SLAM systems using ROS and LiDAR technologies have demonstrated significant advancements in the accuracy, efficiency, and reliability of autonomous robotic navigation. The results from various case studies and experimental setups reveal that robots equipped with LiDAR and integrated through ROS can achieve superior spatial awareness and precision in mapping. These capabilities are evidenced by the reduction in navigation errors, the ability to operate in low-light conditions, and the robustness against environmental changes. For instance, industrial robots in warehouse settings have shown up to a 30% improvement in inventory retrieval times compared to traditional methods, highlighting the practical benefits of these technologies.

In the realm of emergency response, the deployment of SLAM-equipped robots has resulted in more effective and safer operations in hazardous environments. Robots have been able to accurately map disaster sites, such as collapsed buildings, which are otherwise inaccessible to human responders. This technology not only increases the safety of rescue operations but also significantly speeds up the process of locating survivors by providing real-time data to the teams. The discussion around these results emphasizes the potential for SLAM technologies to transform emergency management practices, potentially saving more lives and reducing the risks to human responders.

Within healthcare facilities, the use of autonomous robots has led to streamlined operations, notably in logistics management. The deployment of these robots has reduced the time staff spend on routine supply transport tasks by an average of 40%, according to recent trials conducted in a multi-facility study. This optimization allows healthcare professionals to dedicate more time to patient care, thus improving overall service quality. The discussion extends to the implications of such technologies for future healthcare services, including the potential for SLAM-enabled robots to support more interactive and complex patient care tasks.

The development of autonomous vehicles powered by SLAM, ROS, and LiDAR technologies marks a significant milestone in urban mobility. The discussion in this section analyzes the performance of these vehicles in diverse urban scenarios, noting the challenges of dynamic obstacle avoidance and the integration of SLAM data with real-time traffic management systems. The results indicate that SLAM enhances the vehicle's ability to navigate complex traffic patterns and respond adaptively to sudden environmental changes, which are critical competencies for the broader adoption of autonomous vehicles in public transportation networks.

Furthermore, the exploration and monitoring capabilities demonstrated by SLAM-equipped aerial and underwater drones provide compelling results in environmental and infrastructure management. These drones have successfully conducted topographical surveys and wildlife monitoring with greater frequency and at lower costs than conventional methods. The detailed discussion explores the environmental impact of deploying such technologies and considers the ethical implications, particularly regarding data privacy and the potential disturbance to wildlife habitats.

7. Conclusion

The exploration and implementation of LiDAR and ROS in robotic SLAM systems have demonstrated their transformative potential across a spectrum of applications, from industrial automation to emergency response and healthcare. This paper has reviewed and highlighted the significant advances in SLAM technologies, underscoring the enhanced capabilities of autonomous systems equipped with LiDAR and managed through ROS. The integration of these technologies has not only improved the precision and efficiency of mapping and navigation tasks but has also opened new avenues for robots to perform more complex and interactive roles in various environments. The results discussed herein confirm that the meticulous development and optimization of SLAM systems can lead to substantial improvements in operational effectiveness and safety, making a compelling case for broader adoption and continued investment in these technologies.

Throughout the various sectors analyzed, from logistics and healthcare to emergency response, the deployment of SLAM-equipped robots has consistently led to improvements in efficiency and effectiveness. In logistics, for instance, the integration of SLAM has revolutionized inventory management, demonstrating that autonomous robots can significantly reduce costs and enhance service delivery. Similarly, in healthcare, SLAM technologies have enabled robots to take over routine tasks, allowing medical staff to focus more on patient care, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency and patient experience. These findings not only illustrate the practical benefits and scalability of SLAM technologies but also highlight the critical role they play in supporting and enhancing human efforts across diverse professional landscapes.

Looking ahead, the ongoing development of SLAM technology promises even greater integration into everyday life and industrial processes. However, challenges such as the high costs of LiDAR sensors, the computational demands of processing large datasets, and the complexities of data integration still need to be addressed. Future research should focus on making these systems more accessible and cost-effective, enhancing their adaptability to different environments, and ensuring that they can be seamlessly integrated with other technological advancements. As the field progresses, the collaborative and open-source nature of platforms like ROS will undoubtedly continue to play a pivotal role in fostering innovation and accelerating the development of robust, efficient SLAM systems. The discussion has also opened up essential dialogues about the ethical implications and environmental impacts of deploying autonomous systems, pointing to the need for comprehensive strategies that balance technological advancement with responsible implementation.

References

[1]. M. Mubarik and S. Khan, Disruptive Digital Technologies and Contemporary Supply Chains. 2024, pp. 15–39. Emerald Publishing Limited, May

[2]. K. Putra, A. Arrayyan, N. Hayati, F. Firdaus, C. Damarjati, A. Bakar, and H. Chen, "A review on the application of internet of medical things in wearable personal health monitoring: A cloud-edge artificial intelligence approach," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 21 437–21 452, 2024.

[3]. D. Renuka, M. Swetha, and K. Avanthika, Navigating the Future: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Economic Growth While Safeguarding Societal Well-being. IGI Global, Jun. 2024, pp. 176–191.

[4]. H. ElMaraghy, L. Monostori, G. Schuh, and W. ElMaraghy, "Evolution and future of manufacturing systems," CIRP Annals, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 635–658, 2021.

[5]. G. Shaji and A. Hovan, "Riding the wave: An exploration of emerging technologies reshaping modern industry," International Innovation Journal, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 15–38, 2024.

[6]. H. Taheri and Z. Xia, "Slam; definition and evolution," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 97, no. 1, p. 104032, 2021.

[7]. W. Chen, C. Zhou, G. Shang, X. Wang, Z. Li, C. Xu, and K. Hu, "Slam overview: From single sensor to heterogeneous fusion," Remote Sensing, vol. 14, no. 23, p. 6033, 2022.

[8]. P. Racinskis, J. Arents, and M. Greitans, "Constructing maps for autonomous robotics: An introductory conceptual overview," Electronics, vol. 12, no. 13, p. 2925, 2023.

[9]. S. Joshi, A. Gadre, A. Marathe, and N. Mehendale, "Lidar-based autonomous self driving mini vehicle," SSRN Electronic Journal, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2022.

[10]. L. Mochurad, Y. Hladun, and R. Tkachenko, "An obstacle-finding approach for autonomous mobile robots using 2d lidar data," Big Data and Cognitive Computing, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 43, 2023.

[11]. M. Flottmann, M. Eisoldt, J. Gaal, M. Rothmann, M. Tassemeier, T. Wiemann, and M. Porrmann, "Energy-efficient fpga-accelerated lidar-based slam for embedded robotics," in 2021 International Conference on Field-Programmable Technology (ICFPT). IEEE, 2021.

[12]. P. Kolar, P. Benavidez, and M. Jamshidi, "Survey of datafusion techniques for laser and vision based sensor integration for autonomous navigation," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 8, p. 2180, 2020.

[13]. F. Martínez, E. Jacinto, and F. Martínez, "Using bacterial interaction and stereoscopic images for the location of obstacles on autonomous robots," Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 906–913, 2020.

[14]. J. Luo, X. Zhou, C. Zeng, Y. Jiang, W. Qi, K. Xiang, M. Pang, and B. Tang, "Robotics perception and control: Key technologies and applications," Micromachines, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 531, 2024.

[15]. W. Chen, X. Wang, S. Gao, G. Shang, C. Zhou, Z. Li, C. Xu, and K. Hu, "Overview of multi-robot collaborative slam from the perspective of data fusion," Machines, vol. 11, no. 6, p. 653, 2023.

[16]. T. Yang, Y. Li, C. Zhao, D. Yao, G. Chen, L. Sun, T. Krajnik, and Z. Yan, "3d tof lidar in mobile robotics: A review," arXiv, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2022.

[17]. M. Khan, S. Zaidi, A. Ishtiaq, S. Bukhari, S. Samer, and A. Farman, "A comparative survey of lidar-slam and lidar based sensor technologies," in 2021 Mohammad Ali Jinnah University International Conference on Computing (MAJICC). IEEE, 2021.

[18]. T. Raj, F. Hashim, A. Huddin, M. Ibrahim, and A. Hussain, "A survey on lidar scanning mechanisms," Electronics, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 741, 2020.

[19]. H. Nehme, C. Aubry, T. Solatges, X. Savatier, R. Rossi, and R. Boutteau, "Lidar-based structure tracking for agricultural robots: Application to autonomous navigation in vineyards," Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, vol. 103, no. 4, pp. 1–13, 2021.

[20]. R. Roriz, J. Cabral, and T. Gomes, "Automotive lidar technology: A survey," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 6282–6297, 2022.

[21]. M. Rivai, D. Hutabarat, and Z. Nafis, "2d mapping using omni-directional mobile robot equipped with lidar," TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control), vol. 18, no. 3, p. 1467, 2020.

[22]. G. Haddeler, A. Aybakan, M. Akay, and H. Temeltas, "Evaluation of 3d lidar sensor setup for heterogeneous robot team," Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, vol. 100, no. 2, pp. 689–709, 2020.

[23]. I. Belkin, A. Abramenko, and D. Yudin, "Real-time lidar-based localization of mobile ground robot," Procedia Computer Science, vol. 186, no. 1, pp. 440–448, 2021.

[24]. N. Li, C. Ho, J. Xue, L. Lim, G. Chen, Y. Fu, and L. Lee, "A progress review on solid-state lidar and nanophotonics-based lidar sensors," Laser & Photonics Reviews, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1–16, 2022.

[25]. S. Jiang, S. Wang, Z. Yi, M. Zhang, and X. Lv, “Autonomous navigation system of greenhouse mobile robot based on 3d lidar and 2d lidar slam,” Frontiers in Plant Science, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2022.

[26]. C. Kolhatkar and K. Wagle, Review of SLAM Algorithms for Indoor Mobile Robot with LIDAR and RGB-D Camera Technology. Springer Singapore, 2020, pp. 397–409.

[27]. D. Nam and K. Gon-Woo, “Solid-state lidar based-slam: A concise review and application,” in 2021 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp). IEEE, 2021.

[28]. L. Huang, “Review on lidar-based slam techniques,” in 2021 International Conference on Signal Processing and Machine Learning (CONF-SPML). IEEE, 2021.

[29]. M. Dong, D. Chen, N. Ye, and X. Chen, “Design of ackerman mobile robot system based on ros and lidar,” Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1838, no. 1, p. 012073, 2021.

[30]. M. Islam, T. Ahmed, A. Bin, M. Islam, and S. Siddique, “Autonomous intruder detection using a ros-based multi-robot system equipped with 2d-lidar sensors,” in 2020 IEEE International Symposium on Safety, Security, and Rescue Robotics (SSRR). IEEE, 2020.

[31]. J. Platt and K. Ricks, “Comparative analysis of ros-unity3d and ros-gazebo for mobile ground robot simulation,” Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 1–13, 2022.

[32]. F. Martínez, E. Jacinto, and H. Montiel, Neuronal Environmental Pattern Recognizer: Optical-by-Distance LSTM Model for Recognition of Navigation Patterns in Unknown Environments. Springer Singapore, 2019, pp. 220–227.

[33]. F. Martínez, C. Hernández, and A. Rendón, “Identifier of human emotions based on convolutional neural network for assistant robot,” TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control), vol. 18, no. 3, p. 1499, 2020.

[34]. R. Chaudhuri and S. Deb, LiDAR Integration with ROS for SLAM Mediated Autonomous Path Exploration. Singapore, 2022, pp. 225–235. Springer Nature

[35]. M. Aslan, A. Durdu, A. Yusefi, K. Sabanci, and C. Sungur, A Tutorial: Mobile Robotics, SLAM, Bayesian Filter, Keyframe Bundle Adjustment and ROS Applications. Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 227–269.

[36]. M. Liu, M. Chen, Z. Wu, B. Zhong, and W. Deng, “Implementation of intelligent indoor service robot based on ros and deep learning,” Machines, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 256, 2024.

[37]. B. Kiran, S. Karthikeyan, M. Suhel, K. Manjunatha, S. Manoj, and S. Moras, “Design and development of autonomous mobile robot for mapping and navigation system,” in 2022 IEEE Pune Section International Conference (PuneCon). IEEE, 2022.

[38]. F. Huang, H. Yang, X. Tan, S. Peng, J. Tao, and S. Peng, “Fast reconstruction of 3d point cloud model using visual slam on embedded uav development platform,” Remote Sensing, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 3308, 2020.

[39]. L. Zhou, C. Zhu, and X. Su, “Slam algorithm and navigation for indoor mobile robot based on ros,” in 2022 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence (SEAI). IEEE, 2022.

[40]. S. Gobhinath, K. Anandapoorani, K. Anitha, D. Sri, and R. DivyaDharshini, “Simultaneous localization and mapping [slam] of robotic operating system for mobile robots,” in 2021 7th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS). IEEE, 2021.

[41]. Y. Cui and Z. Niu, “Simulation and implementation of slam drawing based on ros wheeled mobile robot,” Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1865, no. 4, p. 042068, 2021.

[42]. C. Denniston, Y. Chang, A. Reinke, K. Ebadi, G. Sukhatme, L. Carlone, B. Morrell, and A. Agha-mohammadi, “Loop closure prioritization for efficient and scalable multi-robot slam,” IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 9651–9658, 2022.

[43]. R. Safin, R. Lavrenov, and E . Martínez-García, Evaluation of Visual SLAM Methods in USAR Applications Using ROS/Gazebo Simulation. Springer Singapore, Sep. 2020, pp. 371–382.

[44]. F. Iegawa, W. Botelho, T. Santos, E. Pimentel, and F. Yamamoto, Loop Closure Detection in Visual SLAM Based on Convolutional Neural Network. Springer International Publishing, 2023, pp. 3–10.

[45]. D. Dessi, D. Sanchez-Alayo, B. Shabani, and J. Ali-Lavroff, “Bow slamming detection and classification by machine learning approach,” Ocean Engineering, vol. 287, no. 1, p. 115646, 2023.

[46]. M. Kulkarni, P. Junare, M. Deshmukh, and P. Rege, “Visual slam combined with object detection for autonomous indoor navigation using kinect v2 and ros,” in 2021 IEEE 6th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Automation (ICCCA). IEEE, 2021.

[47]. G. Zhang, L. Liu, and Z. Liang, “Implementation and optimization of orb-slam2 algorithm based on ros on mobile robots,” in Third International Conference on Machine Learning and Computer Application (ICMLCA 2022), F. Zhou and S. Ba, Eds. SPIE, 2023.

[48]. P. Sossalla, J. Rischke, J. Hofer, and F. Fitzek, “Evaluating the advantages of remote slam on an edge cloud,” in 2021 26th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA). IEEE, 2021.

[49]. L. Zhao, T. Zhang, and Z. Shang, “Design and implementation of origami robot ros-based slam and autonomous navigation,” PLOS ONE, vol. 19, no. 3, p. e0298951, 2024.

[50]. A. Tubis and J. Rohman, “Intelligent warehouse in industry 4.0-systematic literature review,” Sensors, vol. 23, no. 8, p. 4105, 2023.

[51]. I. Costa and T. Nascimento, “Robotic mobile fulfillment systems: A survey on recent developments and research opportunities,” Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 137, no. 1, p. 103729, 2021.

[52]. S. Ali, S. Khan, N. Fatma, C. Ozel, and A. Hussain, “Utilisation of drones in achieving various applications in smart warehouse management,” Benchmarking: An International Journal, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 920–954, 2023.

[53]. D. Kim and J. Ahn, “Intelligent slam algorithm fusing low-cost sensors at risk of building collapses,” Computers, Materials & Continua, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 1657–1671, 2023.

[54]. X. Li, B. Li, Z. Pan, and Y. Wang, “Design of fire guidance and rescue system based on ros platform,” in 2023 IEEE 7th Information Technology and Mechatronics Engineering Conference (ITOEC). IEEE, 2023.

[55]. A. Rane, B. Srinivasan, O. Kamble, P. Nikam, P. Talekar, S. Sattenapalli, N. Khedkar, and U. Kshirsagar, “Comparative analysis of ros slam algorithms in an autonomous nursing mobile robot,” in 7th IET Smart Cities Symposium (SCS 2023). Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2023.

[56]. K. Ruan, Z. Wu, and Q. Xu, “Smart cleaner: A new autonomous indoor disinfection robot for combating the covid-19 pandemic,” Robotics, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 87, 2021.

[57]. P. Sankalprajan, T. Sharma, H. Perur, and P. Sekhar, “Comparative analysis of ros based 2d and 3d slam algorithms for autonomous ground vehicles,” in 2020 International Conference for Emerging Technology (INCET). IEEE, 2020.

[58]. J. Zhao, S. Liu, and J. Li, “Research and implementation of autonomous navigation for mobile robots based on slam algorithm under ros,” Sensors, vol. 22, no. 11, p. 4172, 2022.

[59]. B. Deebak and F. Al-Turjman, Aerial and underwater drone communication: potentials and vulnerabilities. 1–26.